

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/616,938	07/11/2003	Gary A. Rocheleau	239580US-25 CONT	3027
22850 759	06/16/2004		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			GILBERT, SAMUEL G	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3736	

DATE MAILED: 06/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/616,938	ROCHELEAU ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Samuel G Gilbert	3736			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed swill be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) 11 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o 	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplished any accomplished control of the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action of form P1O-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document: application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/11/2003. 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/616,938

Art Unit: 3736

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed 7/11/2003 has been considered. Several references have not been considered because all required information has not been provided. Regarding SU 1342486, the concise explanation provided is not adequate.

Specification

The attempt to incorporate subject matter into this application by reference to Provisional Patent applications AMS 032P, paragraph 106 is improper because provisional patent applications may not be incorporated by reference.

The applicant is asked to review the entire specification to ensure no other such incorporations have been made.

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the specification refers to many patent application serial numbers and attorney docket numbers. The Attorney docket numbers should be replaced with patent application serial numbers and the patent application serial numbers should be updated to include Patent numbers where appropriate.

Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 3736

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-11 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-15 of U.S. Patent No. 6,641,525. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the differences are obvious changes in the scope of the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an

Application/Control Number: 10/616,938

Art Unit: 3736

application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Semrad (5,234,438) Semrad teaches a connector -20- for connecting a suture to a catheter. It is the examiner's position that the connecter is capable of being used in the manner as claimed and that none of the structure of the needle has been claimed. The connecter is used to pull a catheter through tissue which would require a separation force of at least fifteen pounds.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lehe et al (6,273,852).

Lehe et al teaches needle –10- having a blunt end -16-, sling –12- and connector -32-, the examiner is taking material –13-, which can be placed over synthetic material –11- as a sheath and the sheath is attached to connector –32-. Element –16- is a blunt tip, column 4 , line 48.

Claim 7 – is replete with functional language that does not require any structural difference from Lehe et al. The device of Lehe et al. is capable of performing the recited function.

Claim 10 - the examiner is taking the body of element -32- to be elongated having first and second ends. The examiner is taking elements -33- and -33a- as surfaces for securing the connector to a needle. The claim does not include a

Art Unit: 3736

needle so the examiner is taking the connection in an axial direction as functional language of intended use, and taking the position that the connector -32- is capable of being used with a needle having an axial alignment configuration.

The separation force would be the smaller of the breaking force of the connector or sling which the examiner is taking to be inherently greater than about fifteen pounds.

The insertion force in these types of connecters is generally very small and would be within the claimed ranges.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 11 is allowed.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samuel G Gilbert whose telephone number is 703-308-3553. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 5:30-2:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mary Beth Jones can be reached on 703-308-3400. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3736

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Samuel G Gilbert Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3736

sgg